FILING DATE DEMAND JUDGE JURY DOCKET DIST/OFFICE 0 YR. NUMBER MO. DAY YEAR 23 | \$ NUMBER DEM. YR. NUMBER 290 07 02 76 380 1085/4 24 48 8509 76 76 0360 D 0360-B **DEFENDANTS PLAINTIFFS** EDWARD C. SQUIRE, ANDY SQUIRE, STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY and MAT SQUIRE CAUSE T. 28, USC, 1332; T. 28, USC, 1441 Plaintiff's action is for fire loss allegedly caused by defendants' negligence **ATTORNEYS** Joseph F. Clark, Jr. Reger -R - - Williams Fred C. Cornish 525 Beacon Building McGivern, Scott & Cornish Tulsa, OK 74103 202 Denver Building 583-3195 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119 584-3391 FILING FEES PAID STATISTICAL CARDS CHECK DATE C.D. NUMBER HERE RECEIPT NUMBER 8-1-76 CARD IF CASE WAS JS-5 FILED IN

FORMA PAUPERIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DOCKET

4-1-77

DC-111 (Rev. 1/75

1976 7-2 1 7-2 7-2 3 8-18 4 8-18 5 8-18 8-18 8-18 8-30 8-30 8-30 8-30 8-30 M 9-27 M	2. Noting 3. Bond 4. Ans 5. Mot 6. Def MO Ord a r 7. Pltf' 8. Amend MO Case on d MO: It is ween	ition for removal, filed, from Dist. Court of Tulsaice of filing of pet. & bond for removal, filed.s d on removal, filed.s wer, filed. lm ion to Dismiss, filed. lm t's Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss, filed. 1 ered by Court that pltf. is granted 10 days from the esponse to deft's motion to dismiss.lm (AEB-J) ltrs s Response to Defts' Motion to dismiss, filed. b led Complaint, filed. b referred to Mag. Morris L. Bradford for findings & eft's mot. to dismiss. (AEB-J)k	m is date to file mld.
7-2 7-2 7-2 3 8-18 4 8-18 5 8-18 8-18 8-18 8-30 8-30 8-30 8-30 8-30 8-30 8-30 8-30 8-30 8-30	2. Noting 3. Bond 4. Ans 5. Mot 6. Def MO Ord a r 7. Pltf' 8. Amend MO Case on d MO: It is ween	ice of filing of pet. & bond for removal, filed.s d on removal, filed.s wer, filed. lm ion to Dismiss, filed. lm t's Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss, filed. 1 ered by Court that pltf. is granted 10 days from the esponse to deft's motion to dismiss.lm (AEB-J) ltrs s Response to Defts' Motion to dismiss, filed. b led Complaint, filed. b referred to Mag. Morris L. Bradford for findings & eft's mot. to dismiss. (AEB-J)k	m is date to file mld.
8-18 5 8-18 M 8-18 M 8-30 7 8-30 8 8-30 M 9-27 M	5. Mot 6. Def MO Ord a r 7. Pltf' 8. Amend MO Case on def MO: It is well is well	ion to Dismiss, filed. lm t's Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss, filed. 1 ered by Court that pltf. is granted 10 days from the esponse to deft's motion to dismiss.lm (AEB-J) ltrs is Response to Defts' Motion to dismiss, filed. beled Complaint, filed. b referred to Mag. Morris L. Bradford for findings & eft's mot. to dismiss. (AEB-J)k	is date to file mld.
8-18 8-18 8-30 8-30 8-30 8-30 M	6. Def MO Ord a r 7. Pltf' 8. Amend MO Case on def MO: It is well	t's Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss, filed. 1 ered by Court that pltf. is granted 10 days from the esponse to deft's motion to dismiss.lm (AEB-J) ltrs s Response to Defts' Motion to dismiss, filed. b led Complaint, filed. b referred to Mag. Morris L. Bradford for findings & eft's mot. to dismiss. (AEB-J)k	is date to file mld.
8-18 M 8-30 7 8-30 8 8-30 M	MO Ord a r 7. Pltf' 8. Amend MO Case on do MO: It is well is well a r	ered by Court that pltf. is granted 10 days from the esponse to deft's motion to dismiss.lm (AEB-J) ltrs s Response to Defts' Motion to dismiss, filed. b led Complaint, filed. b referred to Mag. Morris L. Bradford for findings & eft's mot. to dismiss. (AEB-J)k	is date to file mld.
8-30 7 8-30 8 8-30 M	a r 7. Pltf' 8. Amend MO Case on do MO: It is	esponse to deft's motion to dismiss.lm (AEB-J) ltrs is Response to Defts' Motion to dismiss, filed. b led Complaint, filed. b referred to Mag. Morris L. Bradford for findings & eft's mot. to dismiss. (AEB-J)k	mld.
8-30 8 8-30 M 9-27 M	MO Case on do is well as well	led Complaint, filed. b referred to Mag. Morris L. Bradford for findings & eft's mot. to dismiss. (AEB-J)k	recommendation
9 - 27 M	MO: on do	eft's mot. to dismiss. (AEB-J)k	recommendation
	MO: It i		
9-27 M	dism	is hereby Ordered that the minute order entered here withdrawn, the Court having this date ruled on the miss.(AEB-J)b cpy to Mag.Bradford	ein on 8-30-76, motion to
	MO: The havi file amer IT I as b	Court has for consideration the Deft's motion to ding reviewed the file, finds that heretofore and oned an amended complaint, with no objection by deft. Indeed complaint cures the issues raised in the motion in the state of the state of the motion to dismiss beging moot and the defts are granted 20 days from the answer to amended complaint. (AEB-J)b 1tr mld	8-30-76, Pltf., & that said n to dismiss, e overruled
10-15 9	1	ts.' ans. to amended complaint w/DEMAND FOR JURY TR	IAL, filed.s
10-15 11	l. Deft MO It i brie	ts.' mot. to dismiss, filed.s ts.' brief in support of mot. to dismiss, filed.s is ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff file a r ef within ten (10) days to defts. mot. to dismiss. mld.	esponsive s (AEB-J)
10-27 12	2. Pltf	's response to defts.' motion to dismiss, filed.s	
12-7 13	.3. Ord	er, filed, that the defts' motion to dismiss is ove	erruled. (AEB-J)
1977 1-13		rties are directed to hold PT conf. on or before 2-2 Order on or before 3-4-77. (AEB-J)k cps mld	25-77 & file
3-17 1	1	t Motion to remand to state Ct. fld. ho	
	15 Order by re	r of Remand, fld. & entered, that this cause of act emanded to the District Court of Tulsa County, OK. to Ct. Clk., cps mld. ho	